IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
[CHHATTISGARH]

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3041 /2006

PETITIONER : Rastriya Koyala Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC)
Through its President K.N. Trivedi, aged 64 years, R/o Quarter no. B-21, Indra Vihar, Sipat road, Bilaspur, Distt-Bilaspur (C.G)

P.R. No. 30881-6
Presented by Shri S.K. Guha
dated 21/6/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India
Through Ministry of Labour/Shram Mantralaya
New Delhi
2. The Presiding Officer Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, House No.1230, Gole Bazar, Wright Town, Jabalpur (M.P)
3. Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) Bilaspur, Torwa, Main road, Bilaspur (C.G)
4. The Chairman-cum-managing Director, Southern Eastern Coalfield Limited, Sipat Road, Bilaspur (C.G)
5. The Chief General Manager S.E.C.L, Geura Area, Distt-Korba (C.G)

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushik
BILASPUR TAHSIL
21/6/06

WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.

Received the copy of the petition on behalf of R-1 to 5 on 26/7/06
Central Govt. Counsel

(37)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 3041/06 **सन्** **200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28-6-2006:- Heard Shri Indra Sen Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that even though the dispute raised by the petitioner has been referred by the Central Government for decision by respondent No.2 on 23-6-2004 Vide Annexure-P/1 and even after the lapse of about 2 years the matter is pending before respondent No.2, which is not being decided and respondents No.4 and 5 are not filing the statement of claim as per the prescribed time. Learned counsel for the petitioner was not able to answer the query whether such point has been raised before respondent No.2 or not before approaching this Court. In the circumstances, in the first instance, the petitioner to raise his objection before respondent No.2 that the matter is not being taken up for hearing and decided expeditiously in accordance with law. If respondent No.2 is not acting promptly in accordance with law, then the petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court. With the above observation, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		

30/6/06